File No 57 / 15

1915.

Serial Nos. 1 to 4

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Part B for

772

G. R. S. 11] 1915.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Mysore

NOTES.

File No. 597/15

FILE HEADING.

Sri Rama Devaru and Iswara Temples in Ramasandra Agrahar, Anekal Taluk.

From

Sanbag Raghupathiah.

~~No.~~ Petitions Dated 6.3.16 & 29.4.16 Received

Diary No.	Enclos.	Spare Copies.	Serial No.
1529 & 1772			1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Prays for permission to shift the above institutions from Ramasandra Agrahar to Kasba Anekal.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

H. Secretary's order:— Early for report Submitted with 895.

M Cpley
11.5.16.

89
12/5

13/5/16

NOTES—First Sheet.

GPB—20,100 -8 5-14

1578

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 597 of 191 5.

Serial No.	
2.	To D.C. Bangalore Dist No 2717 Dt 18-5-16. forwards the above petition for an early report.
3	Petn Dt 27.12.16 & 11.1.17 from the petr Raghavendrachariar, praying for early orders in the matter as well as repairs to the Temples in question & their management 2 Draft sent to Dy Comr of Bangalore Dist forwarding the above in copy of Sl 2 above & a detailed report in the matter is dtd for appl - with a draft sent post to petr. SG 15.2.17. 16/2/17; Appl 17.2
4	No: 2165 Dt 1.3.17, to Dy Comr Bangalore Dist, Rdg the above in copy of Sl 2 supra for early report
5	From the Dy Comr, Bangalore Dist. Letter No: 941 Dt 30.5.17

D No 1570, 1154 of 1915.

D No: 941 Papers under Sc, Local

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB—70 000—17 12 15

MD 12,3- GPL—60,000—1 12-16-45

R.&S. III-2]

(3

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. S^97 of 191 S.^

Serial No.

Subject the report called for in oC_2 & 4 supra, recommending sanction to the request of the petitr Raghunathaiya for the removal of the temples in question from Kamasanda to Anekal town.

4 The Dy Comr says that petitr Raghunathaiya is not willing to undertake the work of renovating the Idols in question where they are at present, & restoring Puja etc therein, unless he is permitted to remove the Temples to Anekal town for being newly installed in the places selected by him. The villagers of Kamasandra desire that the temples should be renovated & restored only where they are at present & are also willing to contribute 150 Rs towards the cost (vide their statts before the Asst), but the Dy Comr says that the village is a depopulated one, with no Brahmin habitation, & the surroundings

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

MD 12,3-GPL—60,000—1 12-16—S.

(4)

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 597 of 191 .

Serial No.

of the temples are very un-clean & the Hole'geri has encroached upon them & to restore the temples where they are at-present would cost much more than what has been sanctd in G. O No 279-80 dt 29.7.13 and M & 432-10-15 recommends that under the circumstances represented by Raghunatharaya who is interested in the Mutt may be permitted to remove the temple to Anekal & have the same put up in place agreeable to him & to the Lambani people.

The acr in his report dt 19 says that Sree Rama Devaru & Eswara Devaru temples may be installed in Sree Gopala Krishna Swami temple & Sree Bhavani Sankara Swami temple respectly at Anekal for which proposals both Petr & the Anekal Lambani people are said to be agreeable.

Submd for orders as to whether

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

1941

ND 123]—GPB—60,000—1 12-16—S.

R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 597 of 191 S

Serial No.

the Dy Com's recommendation be sanctd in the circumstances reported?

SQ
16/6/17

6. Raghupatayya first applied for repairs to the temples in question and it was sanctd. Before the work of repairs could be taken up, Raghupatayya prayed for the shifting of the two temples from their present place to Anekal town. All the villagers or Vrittidars are not in favour of this proposal. The income and expenditure of the temples are not known. May this be reserved for personal inspection disposal after?

7/6/17 amn - To test the bona fide of the people who do not want the temples to be removed, an interval of time, say, Six months, may be given within which they should repair and arrange for proper worship. Should they fail to repair and get puja performed properly, Dy Com's recommendation may be sanctioned: - May a draft be put up on these lines? K.D. 21/6/17

(See)

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

ND 12,3—GPL—60,000—1 12-16—S.

(6

R S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Mis File No. 597 of 1915.

Serial No.

8. Ascertain whether these temples are Muzrai inst.ns. Sometime ago a charitable deposit was accepted from a lady of Anekal (assd. by Mr R. Venkat-Ramanier pleader). Wait for the benefit of the temple

15/2/16 17

Rd 21.6.17

9. The temples are muzrai institutions and they are in the enjoyment of the undermentioned inam lands in the Anekal Dk.

Kasi Visveswara Swami at Kammasandra.	Dry 1-9.	5-8-0.
	Wet 0-20.	4-8-0.
Ramaswami at Kammasandra.	Dry 1-23.	5-8-0.
	Wet 1-11.	8-12-0.

10. The endowment of Rs 1000/- made by Ammanniamma of Anekal is for the benefit of the Sri Kodanda Ramaswami temple at Bidaragere in the same Taluk, which appears to be a different institution altogether.

Sub-R 20/6/17

Vaghupathaiya is present and represented the case in person

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

C7

WD 1283—GPB—60 000—1 12-16—S

R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mly File No. 597 of 1915.

Serial No.

N. seems wh. absolutely necessary per me
to inspect the temples before I can in a
position to say whether the transfer ought
permitted

lee
5-2-16

17. I inspected the temples
on the 4th inst., the patil Kaliyapathiar
and many other villagers being present.

13. Both the temples require ex-
tensive repairs but it is not quite
correct to say either they are insanitarily
situated in the middle of manure pits
or that the Hdgers has encroached
upon the precincts of the temples.

14. The village is a Jodi one but
none of the Brahman Jodidars are
permanently resident in the village
There are however about 15 houses
belonging to the migali of the Superior
Castes and none of them are willing
to attend the removal of the
idols to Anekal. Besides it is clear
from the situation of the temples that
they came into existence when the
village was laid out and so far
none of the Jodidars appear to have
connected to the construction of the temples

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

elsewhere. The temples that remain are in the occupancy of certain families in the village. Peter Rayhupathiar has himself no interest in the village except that he alleges that his ancestors built the temples. It is difficult to say how far this allegation is true. In any case the temples are Mayoori institutions and Rayhupathiar cannot have any individual property right over them.

15. I explained the situation to Rayhupathiar and he is himself quite content to allow the villagers to repair the temples as need be take place, only his individual contribution of Rs 500 — which formed the first deposit in the Savings Bank — may be returned to him for defraying the Appala Kishnaswamy temple at Anekal for Nattharavan expenses. This will leave about Rs 600 made up of private contributions and interest thereon which with Govt. contribution will make up Rs 1,300 a sum sufficient to restore worship in at least one of the temples. Possibly the Nanderavan temple may be taken

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD A 1789—GPB—60,000—20 3 15—S.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

MLR File No. 597 of 1918

Serial No.

first for registration as it is conveniently situated inside the village

16. Draft letter to Dt Council declining permission to remove the temples for reasons stated above and requesting him to report whether the Gotidars and the villagers will subscribe between themselves raise sufficient funds to make good the deficit, necessary to undertake the repairs of both the temples

Sd
5.8.18

Sd
5/8/18.

17 Subd with a draft on the lines indicated above

Sd
8/8/18

18. Put up clean draft

Sd.
9/8/18

19. Clean draft Subd for approval.

Sd
12.8.18.

Sd.
12/8/18.

Secy.) 20. Subd with a draft for favour of approval & the orders of Extra member)

Sd
13.8.18

P.T.O.

NOTE—Contn. sheet 15

WD A 1789—GPB—60,000—20.3.18—S.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mis. File No. 597 of 1915.

Serial No.

21- Since a G.O shd be as full & final as it can possibly be made. I do not think that para 4 shd find a place in it. It is better to make this Enquiry of the D.C. first & then to pass a final order disposing of the case. A period - say one month - shd be fixed for the D.C. to send his reply & no time limit even if.

Recd 16.8.18

22. Draft letter to D.C. enclosed

16-8-18

Draft letter subd for approval.

24-8-18

Secy.) Apprd

26/8/18.

29/8/18.

6. No 801 dt 6.9.18 From D.C. of Bangalore Calls for a report whether in case Raghupathaiya is unwilling to pay his Contribution to be used if the temples are not to be removed &

NOTE—Contra. sheet

WD A 1789—GPB—60 000—20-3 18—S.

Serial No.

Anekal the Jodidars and others of the village cannot independently raise funds sufficient to make up, with the State grant and the balance the Contributions available after deducting the quota of Rapheps the amount of Rs 2136/ already for the repairs of the tank.

2.5 Reminder for the from Raghupatiah 23-9-18

No report has yet been received from the Dy: Comr on the subject, though he was requested to do so within one month

A draft D. O. reminder is subd for approval or other orders - An urgent ltr: letter may be addressed Rapt- Subd. for appl.

26-12-18 26/12

Approved

23 9/1

7 From the Dy: Comr, Bangalore Distt. letter no. C. 941 of 16-17 dy 5-3-19.

States that both the Jodidars and the villagers of Kammasandra Agrahara plead their inability to raise independently the required sum &c. for the restoration of the tanks in

NOTE—Contn sheet

1527

General and Revenue Secretariat.

May File No. 597 of 1911 S.

Serial No.

-the Kammasandra Agrahar and recommends, therefore, that Raghupathiah may be allowed to remove the idols Kammasandra to Anekal and consecrated in the Sri Gopalakrishnaswami ~~temple~~ Temple at Anekal.

The report was called for under the directions of the Member of Council in page 10 of the note.

As the jodidars and villagers of the Kammasandra Agrahar — the former not residing in the village at all — have expressed their inability to raise the requisite funds for the repair of the temples there, the Dy: Comr recommends that Raghupathiah may be allowed to remove the idols to Anekal, as prayed for by him.

K.Y.

In view of this recommendation the approved draft in the file has to be modified. ~~I have~~ The draft modified ~~in~~ accordingly & is subd for approval, or other orders. The Dy: Comr may, if approved, be requested to take a ~~80~~ 29-4-11 ~~not~~ mutchalika from Raghupathiah that he will arrange ~~to~~ for the regular and permanent conduct of worship to the idols to be consecrated at Anekal and to have

NOTE—Contn sheet

1529

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mis File No. 597 of 1915

Serial No.

Dharmadaro is apptd, if there are not some already. Office appt, a fair Dasp- work be sent

29-3-19 29.3.19

Draft will be put up if it is decided to accord the permission as recommended.

Secy) 31/3/19.

Apparently my note has not been read. If contribution is not ready, the idea is only to take up the repairs after Ramdevan temple and not to permit the removal of the structure. Practically it is only part that requires alteration

26.4.19

26/4/19.

Draft

(Subd with the alteration as directed.

6-5-19 9/5/19

Secy) 9/5/19

A draft is submitted for approval & issue of

NOTE—Contn sheet

1484
13 5 19

General and Revenue Secretariat.

my. File No. 597 of 1915.

Serial No.	
	Draft advt Rs 1555
	Rs 1615
	16/5/19
8	To Dy. Commr. Sialkot; Com[illegible] Jail No. 3 dated 5th August 597-15-8 dt. 22-8-1915. [illegible]

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

DIARY No 1529

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Date of Receipt 9 3 16
Petn, Regr. No 7973

1914 సంవత్సరం 2374 నంబరు దస్తావేజు

DIARY No. 1772

19 15 16

FILED 597-15

SERIAL No. 1

ಅಂಚೆಚೀಟಿ ನಂ ೧೨೦೦ ಅಂಚೆಚೀಟಿ ಅಂಟಿಸಿ ರದ್ದುಪಡಿಸಿದೆ

ಮೇಲ್ಕಾಣಿಸಿದ ನಂಜುಂಡಯ್ಯನವರ ಕೊಲೆಯಾದ ದಿನದಿಂದ ಸುಮಾರು

ದ ಸುತ್ತಮುತ್ತಲ ಪ್ರದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ನಿಷೇಧಾಜ್ಞೆ ಜಾರಿಯಲ್ಲಿತ್ತು

ಕೊಲೆಯಾದ ದಿನ ಮಧ್ಯಾಹ್ನ ಸುಮಾರು ೧೨ ಗಂಟೆಯ ಸಮಯದಲ್ಲಿ

29-4-16

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA
OF MYSORE.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No 2717 Ev-2 dy 18/5/16
dms 597-15-2

The accompanying Petitions Registered
Nos 1529, 1772 dated 6th March & 29th April 1916
received at the General and Revenue
Secretariat from Sanbog Raghupathiah
praying for permission
to shift Sri Rama Devaru
and Iswara Temples in
Kammasandra Agrahar
to Kasba Aresal, etc.

is forwarded, ~~together with its enclosures~~,
to the Dy. Commr
Bangalore Dist
~~_____~~ for ~~favour~~
of an early report.

By Order,

13/5
Dy Rev Secretary.

12/5
BANGALORE,
_____191 .

P. T. O.

W D 882 -GPB—1 000—10 11 15

RLS No 2718 ದಿ 18.5.16.

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ [illegible] ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು
[illegible] ಹೋಬಳಿ
[illegible] ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿರುವ
[illegible] ಗೆ –

ಇಂಡಾರ್ಸ್ಮೆಂಟು.

[illegible]

ನೀವು ಗುಜರಾಯಿಸಿಕ್ಕೊಂಡ 6.3.16 ರಂದು 29.4.16
ವರ್ಗಾವಣೆ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು [illegible] ಬಗ್ಗೆ
[illegible]
ರವರಿಗೆ ಬರೆಯಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

By Order,

[illegible]
Secretary

OF MYSORE.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No 2165 Enc. 7. Petitions
Mis 597-75-18

The accompanying Petition Registered Dy 1.3.1
No 1078, 1154 & 1155 dated 27.12.16 & 11.1.17 (Urdu)
received at the General and Revenue
Secretariat from Raghupathaiya
of Anekal, Dharma-
certain Temples at
Kaussanda in the same
Dk., praying for early
orders in the matter of
repairs to Sree Rama
& other Temples at Kau-
sandra & their Management

are with compliments
~~is~~ forwarded, together ~~with its enclosures~~,
to the Dy Comm
of
Bangalore ~~for a detailed~~
~~Report early~~ in cont of
this Dee No: 2717 Dy 18.5.16

By Order,

for Secretary. Govt.
Agl Enc Dept

BANGALORE,
16/7/17
191 .

P. T. O.

The report called for
may be submitted at
a very early date

W D 882 GPb—1 000—10 11 15

No 2166 ದಿ 1.3.2017

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ್ _____ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕ

_____ ಹೋಬಳಿ

_____ ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿರು

_____ ಗೆ

ಇಂಡಾರ್ಸ್ಮೆಂಟ್ ಹುಕುಂ.

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ನೀವು ಗುಜರಾಯಿಸಿಕ್ಕೊಂಡ 27.12.16 ರಂದು 11.1.17 ರಂದು

ಸ್ವೀಕರಿಸಿದ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು _____ ಬಗ್ಗೆ

_____

_____ ರವಕ ಬಳಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದೆ.

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

By Order,

17.2.17

Secretary ಗುರುತು

16/2/17 ರಂದು ಬಂದ ಪತ್ರ

ಕಳಿಸಿ

15.2.17

DIARY No. 1941.

16.9.17

SECTION Jud. C.

597-15

5

A) JS

From The Deputy Commissioner
H. & REV. DEPT. Bangalore District

9 JUN 1917

To The Joint Secy to the Govt
of Mysore - Mucy Dept

Dated 30-5-17

Despatched

Received

General No. C 941

No. 40

Enclosure No. 1 File

Forwarding on the petitions Nos
1529, & 1772 of 6-3-13 and
29-4-16 from Shanthoy
Raghunathacharya, praying for
permission to shift Sri Rama-
devaru & Isvara Temples
in Kammasandra Agrahara
Anekal —

GPB—5,76,000—6-11-

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.
Dated Bangalore, 27th May 1917.

C No. 94/ Sanskrit
From

Muhammed Zahiruddin Mecci, Esq., B.A.,
Senior Assistant Commissioner,
in charge Bangalore District.

To

G.Aravamuda Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Joint Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

Sir,

With reference to your Office Memo No.2717/Muz.597-15-2 dated 18-5-16 forwarding for report petitions registered Nos.1529 and 1772 dated 6th March 1913 and 29th April 1916 from Shanbhog Raghupataiya praying for permission to shift Sri Ramadevaru and Isvara temples in -- Kannasandra Agrahar attached to Kasaba Anekal, I have the honor to state that the temples in question appear to be about 300 years old founded and endowed by the ancestors of the petitioner Raghupathiah. As the above village has become almost depopulated and as there has been no competent Brahmin residing in the locality to offer worship in the temples, they have been quite neglected and have gone to -- ruins. The image of Siva in the innermost shrine of the Siva temple is reported to be missing and none of the temples has any doors.The temples are surrounded by manure pits and the Holayargeri has almost encroached on the temple vicinity. Under these circumstances the petitioner who is much interested in the restoration of the temples founded by his ancestors proposes to shift the idols to the Town of Anekal and to meet all the excess expenditure over the amount of Rs.700/- sanctioned by Government for the restoration of these temples from the funds collected by him for the purpose. He is reported to have deposited in his name in the Taluk Treasury Rs.1231-5-5 and secured bricks and other materials to the value of Rs.650/- for the purpose.

2. The only objection to carrying out this proposal is from the few non-Brahmin residents of Agrahar, who state that the temples should be repaired where they are at present and that they would contribute

contribute Rs.150/- towards their repair. The removal of the manure pits, the shifting of the Holayargere to a distant locality and the replacing and "pratishta" of the Sivalinga, all these would entail very much more than the amount promised by the villagers. Raghupathiah is not willing to undertake the "pratishta" at his own expense or to give the help promised by him unless the temples are shifted to Anekal town. Besides, there is no guarantee of securing competent men at Agrahar to conduct the services in the temples and to look after the affairs of the institutions.

3. The people of Anekal are reported to have no objection to the removal of these temples to their town and to their being put up in the place selected by the petitioner.

4. The Vernacular file of correspondence ending with the Dodballapur Sub-Division Officer's Yadi No.1060 dated 26th April 1917 is submitted herewith for reference and kind return.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

Senior Assistant Commissioner,
in charge Bangalore District

L.S.R.
1.

VI—G/32] 3/18

SUBJECT Calls for further information from the D.C. Bangalore in the matter of the proposal to shift the temples of Sri Ramadevaru and Sankareswara from Kammasandra Agrahar to Anekal town

Diary No. of letter replied to 1941

Section Peer

File No. 597-15

Serial No. 6

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT,
(Mysore)
, *the* 191 .

No. 801/Gvelb1

Date of issue 6-9-1918

To

Signed by Mervelle Sadasiva Rao

The Dy: Comr., Bangalore Distt

Sir,

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No. C.941 dt 30-5-17 reporting on the petition of Shambhoo Raghupathiah of Anekal praying for permission to shift the Sri Ramadevaru and Sri Sankareswara temples at Kammasandra Agrahar, Anekal Taluk, to the Anekal town, I am directed to request you to ascertain

over.

Rajupathiah allow his contribution to be used if the temples are not to be leased to Anekal

and report whether the jodidars and villagers of Kammasandra cannot ~~raise~~ independently raise funds sufficient to make up, with the State Grant and the balance of the contributions ~~now~~ available, after deducting Rajupathiah's quota the amount of Rs 2136/- already sanctioned for the repairs of both the temples.

I am to request that the matter may be treated as urgent and

2 ~~It is requested that~~ a reply to this communication ~~may be~~ sent us within one month.

3. The Ver: records are returned herewith for reference and retransmission.

I have etc

[illegible]
2nd
~~Jr~~ Jr. Secretary
Mys: Deptt

List of enclosure

89
24/8/18

WD. 26/8/18. 26.8.18

Copied by

Examined by

WD 86—GPB—60,000—15 11 16

6.938

191

GEN.

From, The Deputy Commissioner Bangalore District

To, The Joint Secretary to the Govt of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore

Dated 5/3/09

Despatched 5/3/09

Received

General No. C 941 of 1908-09
No. Ho

Enclosure No. One file of Vernacular records.

Subject: Submitting a Report with the Vernacular records on the petition of Rathnachala of Anekal praying permission to shift the Sri Ramadevaru and Sri Sankeswara temples at Kammasandra Agrahara to Anekal Town.

1529
8.9
Med.
522-08
7

Office of the Deputy Comm
Bangalore District.

No....

Dated Bangalore, 5th March 1919.

From

P.F.Bowring, Esq.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

To

G.Aravamudu Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Joint Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Muzrai Department.

Sir,

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No.801/Muz.597-15-6 dated 16th September 1918, calling for further information in respect of the petition received from one Raghupathian of Anekal praying for permission to shift the Sri Ramadevaru and Sri Sankeswara temples at Kammasandra Agrahar to Anekal Town, I have the honor to state that both the Jodidars and the villagers of Kammasandra Agrahar plead their inability to raise independently the required funds for the restoration of the temples in question. The petitioner and the Jodidars do not appear to be in good terms. Since the Jodidars are not living in the Kammasandra village which is in a declining state it is clear that they are not in earnest to restore the temples. Further the present buildings may not be of much use unless rebuilt at a heavy cost as they are reported to be in an almost ruinous condition. The petitioner Raghupathiah claims the temples as having been built by his ancestors.

2. In the above circumstances Raghupathiah may be allowed to remove the idols from Kammasandra to Anekal and consecrated in the Sri Gopala Krishnaswami Temple where it is reported they will receive puja every day.

3. The connected file of vernacular records received with Doddballapur Sub-Division Officer's No.724 of 6/7th February 1919 is herewith submitted for reference and return when no longer -----

required.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

For Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

L.S.R.
1.

G.R.S. VI-71]

SUBJECT... ~~Declines to~~ Permit the shifting of the Sri Ramadevaru and Eswara temples at Kammasandra, Anekal Taluk to Anekal Town.

1529

Diary No. of letter replied to.....~~1941.~~

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the *191* .

Date of issue.....

Signed by.....

May this be

i Printed?

ii treated as a standing orde?

iii published in the Gazette English part?

iv translated?

v placed at the disposal of the press?

vi published in the Gazette Kannada part?

Section

File No.

Serial No.

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read.—

G.O. No.279-80/432-10/5 dated 29th July 1913, sanctioning estimates amounting to Rs.2136 for repairs to Sri Ramadevaru and Sri Sankeswara temples at Kammasandra Agrahar, Anekal Taluk, Rs.700 thereof being granted from the State Funds and the balance being met from contributions of devotees.

Order Thereon:—No.

2. Petitions registered Nos.1529 and 1772 dated 6th March 1916 and 29th April 1916 respectively

D.T. *over.*

respectively from Sanbog Raghupathiah
that he may be permitted
of Anekal praying to shift these tem-
les to Anekal town.

3. Correspondence ending with
letter No.C.941/16-17 dated 5th March 1919
from the Deputy Commissioner, Banga-
lore District recommending that the temples
(Ref.)
in question which were founded and
endowed by Raghupathiah's ancestors
and stating that
may be shifted to Anekal town as the
the village has become almost depopulated
that
as there are no competent brahmins resid-
ing in the locality to conduct the
that
worship etc and as the temples are
surrounded by manure pits and en-
and that
croached upon by Holayargeri, the
petitioner who has deposited a sum of
Rs.1,231-5-5 in the Taluk Treasury
and collected materials to the
value of Rs.650 is unwilling to
undertake the work of restoration
already sanctioned unless permission
Rim idols to Anekal
is given to shift the temples.

There is no "recommendation" to be found in the D.C's letter. There is only a bare statement of facts in Ref. 16.8.

that the jodidars and villagers of Kammasandra plead their inability to raise the requisite funds for the restoration of the temples, if Raghupathiah withdraws his contribution;

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by 21/5/19

P.T.O.

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

Order No. 3624-6 Ev. V. Records
Muz 597-15-8
Dated, 22nd May 1919

The Muzrai Superintendent and ~~Joint~~ Secretary to Government who inspected the place on the 4th ~~inst.~~ Aug is of opinion that no good case ~~is~~ has been made out for the shifting of the temples in question which are Muzrai institutions and as such not subject to any individual ~~property~~ ? proprietary right on the part of the petitioner. The ~~village is a jodi one and~~ the jodidars of the village have never consented to the -- shifting of the temples elsewhere. The temples are ~~neither~~ not insanitarily situated, ~~nor~~ neither has the Holayargere encroached upon the precincts of the temple to such an extent as would justify the ~~removal~~ abandonment of the buildings though extensive repairs are ~~said~~ found to be quite necessary.

2. Moreover Raghupathiah who originally moved in the matter of restoration

of the temples and collected subscriptions is said to be quite content to allow the villagers to repair the temples as undertaken by them, provided that his individual contribution of Rs.500 is returned to him for endowing the Sri Gopalakrishnaswami temple at Anekal for -- Rathotsavam expenses. This will still leave a balance of about Rs.600 made up of -- private contributions which with the Government contribution will make up Rs.1,300,— a sum sufficient to restore worship in at least one of the temples viz preferably the Ramadevaru temple which is described as being most conveniently situated for restoration as well as a convenient site in its vicinity.

6. Under the circumstances, Government do not see their way decline to accord permission to the shifting of the temples to Anekal town. The subscription of Rs.500 given by petitioner Ragupathaiah will be returned to him, he being permitted to apply for the amount separately for the endowment to Sri

OPI —50 300—16-2 14

Gopalakrishnaswami

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

4. The Dy. Comr is requested to send up a revised estimate of ~~Conservancy~~ the available amount for the repairs of the Sri Ramadevaru temple at Kammasandra Agrahar.

Gopalakrishnaswami temple at Anekal.

~~that he has in view.~~

4., The Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District is requested to report whether the jodidars and villagers ~~will be able to~~ cannot raise funds sufficient to make up with the State grant the amount of Rs.2136 already sanctioned for the repairs of both the temples.

13-8-15

Joint Secretary to Govt.,
Muzrai Department.

To

The Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.
Returning Records

The Muzrai Superintendent in Mysore.
Compln. Drawl.

3627

Copy to the petitioner
Raghupathiah.

CS.